

PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN METRICS IN MODELS BASED ON NONCOMMUTATIVE GEOMETRY

A. Dimakis

Department of Mathematics, University of the Aegean
GR-83200 Karlovasi, Samos, Greece
dimakis@aegean.gr

F. Müller-Hoissen

Max-Planck-Institut für Strömungsforschung
Bunsenstrasse 10, D-37073 Göttingen, Germany
fmuelle@gwdg.de

Abstract

Several examples and models based on noncommutative differential calculi on commutative algebras indicate that a metric should be regarded as an element of the left-linear tensor product of the space of 1-forms with itself. We show how the metric compatibility condition with a linear connection generalizes to this framework.

1 Introduction

In ordinary differential geometry there are several equivalent ways to introduce the concept of a metric. It should not be surprising, however, that corresponding generalizations to the huge framework of noncommutative geometry in general lead to somehow inequivalent structures. Some definition of a metric in noncommutative geometry is easily chosen and calculations performed with it. What is often missing, however, is a serious application which demonstrates its usefulness outside the single point which corresponds to ordinary differential geometry in the set of noncommutative differential geometries. We do not believe that a particular definition of a metric will finally be singled out by general arguments. Rather, we expect that a convenient definition of a metric will depend on the area of applications which one has in mind, and the relations between different definitions may turn out to be extremely complicated.

In section 2 we briefly recall some metric definitions in noncommutative geometry and add to it a new one which, however, is restricted to the case of (noncommutative) differential calculi on *commutative* algebras, which includes the case of discrete spaces. Section 3 provides some examples and models in which this metric definition shows up. In section 4 we introduce compatibility of such a metric with a linear connection. Section 5 contains some conclusions.

2 General setting for noncommutative pseudo-Riemannian geometry

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative algebra (over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$) with unit element $\mathbb{1}$. A *graded algebra* over $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded associative algebra $\Omega(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \Omega^r(\mathcal{A})$ where $\Omega^0(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$. A *differential calculus* over $\mathcal{A}$ consists of a graded algebra $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$ over $\mathcal{A}$ and a linear¹ map $d : \Omega^r(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(\mathcal{A})$ with the properties

$$d^2 = 0, \quad d(w w') = (dw) w' + (-1)^r w dw' \quad (1)$$

where $w \in \Omega^r(\mathcal{A})$ and $w' \in \Omega(\mathcal{A})$. We also require $\mathbb{1}w = w\mathbb{1} = w$ for all elements $w \in \Omega(\mathcal{A})$. The identity $\mathbb{1}\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ then implies $d\mathbb{1} = 0$. In the following, we simply write Ω instead of $\Omega(\mathcal{A})$.

A (left $\mathcal{A}$ -module) *linear connection* is a linear map $\nabla : \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1$ such that

$$\nabla(f\alpha) = df \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \alpha + f \nabla \alpha \quad (2)$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha \in \Omega^1$. It extends to a linear map $\nabla : \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1$ via

$$\nabla(w \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \alpha) = dw \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \alpha + (-1)^r w \nabla \alpha \quad w \in \Omega^r, \alpha \in \Omega^1. \quad (3)$$

The *curvature* of the linear connection ∇ is the map $\mathcal{R} = -\nabla^2$ and the *torsion* $\Theta : \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega$ is defined as $\Theta = d \circ \pi - \pi \circ \nabla$ where π is the projection $\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \Omega$.

What about a generalization of the concept of a (pseudo-) Riemannian metric? In the literature we find the following suggestions.

- Connes' distance formula [1] generalizes the Riemannian geodesic distance. This is an interesting new tool even in ordinary Riemannian geometry where, however, it is bound to the case of positive definite metrics (see [2] for an attempt to overcome this restriction). Its generalization to noncommutative geometry requires some more understanding what a convenient counterpart to the Riemannian Dirac operator should be. This makes model building quite complicated.
- In several papers a metric has been considered as an element of $\Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1$ or as a map $\Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. In particular, a technical problem arose, namely the impossibility to extend a linear connection (on Ω^1) to a connection on $\Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1$ in certain examples (see [3, 4]). Such an extension is needed in order to define metric compatibility in a straight way. Furthermore, according to our knowledge there have been no applications so far to really prove the usefulness of this definition of a metric in noncommutative geometry.
- A generalization of the Hodge $\star$ -operator to noncommutative geometry appeared to be a useful structure in some applications based on noncommutative geometry of commutative algebras [5, 6, 7]. It has been generalized to noncommutative algebras in [8].

¹If $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ ($\mathbb{R}$), a *linear* map is linear over $\mathbb{C}$ ($\mathbb{R}$).

Departing from all of these definitions, for (noncommutative) differential calculi on *commutative* algebras we propose that a metric should be taken to be an element of $\Omega^1 \otimes_L \Omega^1$ where $\otimes_L$ is the left-linear tensor product which satisfies

$$(f \alpha) \otimes_L (h \beta) = f h \alpha \otimes_L \beta \quad (4)$$

for $f, h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1$ (see also [9]). The next section provides some examples through which we were led to this definition of a metric. It should be noticed that there is no direct way to extend this definition to the case of noncommutative algebras $\mathcal{A}$. In some sense, however, the Hodge operator mentioned above may be regarded as such an extension.

In the following we make use of the fact that, given a differential calculus on a commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$, there is a unique associative and commutative product $\bullet$ in the space of 1-forms such that $\alpha \bullet df = [\alpha, f]$ and

$$(f \alpha h) \bullet (f' \beta h') = f f' (\alpha \bullet \beta) h h' \quad \forall f, f', h, h' \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1 \quad (5)$$

[10]. We call it the *canonical product* in Ω^1 . It measures the deviation from the ordinary differential calculus where $\alpha \bullet \beta = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1$.

3 Where left-linear pseudo-Riemannian metrics show up

3.1 Metrics on finite sets

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the algebra of functions on a finite set M . It has been shown in [11] that first order differential calculi on $\mathcal{A}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with digraphs the vertices of which are the elements of M . Given such a digraph, we associate with an arrow from $i \in M$ to $j \in M$ an algebraic object e^{ij} . Let Ω^1 be the linear space (over $\mathbb{C}$) generated by all these e^{ij} . An $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule structure can then be introduced via

$$f e^{ij} h = f(i) e^{ij} h(j) \quad \forall f, h \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (6)$$

With

$$df = \sum_{i,j} [f(j) - f(i)] e^{ij} \quad (7)$$

we obtain a first order differential calculus. It is natural to regard the set of outgoing arrows at some point $i \in M$ as the analogue of the cotangent space in ordinary continuum differential geometry.

As a candidate for a metric let us consider $g \in \Omega^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \Omega^1$. Using the above formulas and the properties of the tensor product over $\mathcal{A}$, we obtain

$$g = \sum_{i,j,k} g_{ijk} e^{ij} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} e^{jk} \quad (8)$$

with constants g_{ijk} . Here e^{ij} and e^{jk} live in different cotangent spaces and it would be quite unnatural for a metric to compare vectors located at different points. In contrast, if we take $g \in \Omega^1 \otimes_L \Omega^1$, then

$$g = \sum_{i,j,k} g(i)_{jk} e^{ij} \otimes_L e^{ik} \quad (9)$$

with constants $g(i)_{jk}$. Here e^{ij} and e^{ik} live in the same cotangent space and this enables us to make contact with classical geometry [9].

3.2 A class of noncommutative differential calculi on $\mathbb{R}^n$

In terms of coordinates x^μ , $\mu = 1, \dots, n$, on $\mathbb{R}^n$ a class of first order² differential calculi is determined by the commutation relations³

$$[dx^\mu, x^\nu] = \ell C^{\mu\nu}{}_\kappa dx^\kappa \quad (10)$$

where ℓ is a constant and $C^{\mu\nu}{}_\kappa$ are functions of the coordinates which have to satisfy certain consistency conditions [5, 10]. In terms of the canonical product in Ω^1 this becomes $dx^\mu \bullet dx^\nu = \ell C^{\mu\nu}{}_\kappa dx^\kappa$. We assume that dx^μ forms a basis of Ω^1 as a left- and as a right $\mathcal{A}$ -module. Generalized partial (left- and right-) derivatives can then be introduced via

$$df = (\partial_{+\mu} f) dx^\mu = dx^\mu (\partial_{-\mu} f) . \quad (11)$$

A coordinate transformation is a bijection $x^{\mu'}(x^\nu)$ such that $\partial_{+\nu} x^{\mu'}$ is invertible. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [dx^{\mu'}, x^{\nu'}] &= \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} [dx^\kappa, x^{\nu'}] = \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} [dx^{\nu'}, x^\kappa] \\ &= \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} \partial_{+\lambda} x^{\nu'} [dx^\lambda, x^\kappa] = \ell \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} \partial_{+\lambda} x^{\nu'} C^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\sigma dx^\sigma \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

using the commutativity of $\mathcal{A}$ and the derivation property of d . Hence,

$$C^{\mu'\nu'}{}_{\kappa'} = \ell \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} \partial_{+\lambda} x^{\nu'} C^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\sigma \partial_{+\kappa'} x^\sigma . \quad (13)$$

If we define

$$g^{\mu\nu} = C^{\mu\kappa}{}_\lambda C^{\lambda\nu}{}_\kappa \quad (14)$$

we obtain the transformation rule

$$g^{\mu'\nu'} = \partial_{+\kappa} x^{\mu'} \partial_{+\lambda} x^{\nu'} g^{\kappa\lambda} . \quad (15)$$

Suppose an inverse $g_{\mu\nu}$ exists. Then

$$g_{\mu'\nu'} = \partial_{+\mu'} x^\kappa \partial_{+\nu'} x^\lambda g_{\kappa\lambda} . \quad (16)$$

This is *not* compatible with $g = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} dx^\nu$, but rather with

$$g = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes_L dx^\nu . \quad (17)$$

²A first order differential calculus $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ always extends to higher orders via the rules of differential calculus.

³On the rhs we use the summation convention (summation over κ).

We mention that one can prove that if $g^{\mu\nu}$ is invertible, then there is an $\mathcal{A}$ -module basis θ^μ of Ω^1 such that

$$\theta^\mu \bullet \theta^\nu = \delta_\kappa^\mu \delta_\kappa^\nu \theta^\kappa . \quad (18)$$

If the θ^μ are holonomic, then we have $C^{\mu\nu}_\kappa = \delta_\kappa^\mu \delta_\kappa^\nu$ and therefore the lattice differential calculus considered in [5].

(10) is the basis of an interesting physical model. Think of the x^μ as space-time coordinates. If the functions $C^{\mu\nu}_\kappa$ and the $g^{\mu\nu}$ derived from them are dimensionless, then ℓ should have the dimension of a length and a natural candidate for it would be the Planck length. The kinematical structure of space-time is then modified at the Planck scale.

3.3 From the Hodge operator to the metric tensor

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a *commutative* algebra and $(\Omega(\mathcal{A}), d)$ a differential calculus over $\mathcal{A}$ which admits linear and invertible maps

$$\star : \Omega^r \rightarrow \Omega^{n-r} \quad r = 0, \dots, n \quad (19)$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that⁴

$$\star (w f) = f \star w \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{A}, w \in \Omega . \quad (20)$$

As a consequence, $\star^{-1}(fw) = (\star^{-1}w) f$. The set of maps $\star$ is called a (generalized) *Hodge operator*. It induces an inner product in Ω^1 as follows,

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \star^{-1}(\alpha \star \beta) . \quad (21)$$

As a consequence of (20), it satisfies

$$(\alpha, \beta f) = (\alpha f, \beta), \quad (f \alpha, \beta) = f(\alpha, \beta) . \quad (22)$$

In applications of the formalism in the context of completely integrable models (and in particular generalized principal chiral models) [6, 7, 8], a *symmetric* Hodge operator was needed, i.e.,

$$\alpha \star \beta = \beta \star \alpha \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1 . \quad (23)$$

As a consequence of this rather restrictive condition, we have

$$(\alpha, f \beta) = (f \beta, \alpha) = f(\alpha, \beta) . \quad (24)$$

For a differential calculus of the kind considered in the previous subsection, one can introduce metric components

$$g^{\mu\nu} = (dx^\mu, dx^\nu) . \quad (25)$$

Using the above formulas, the effect of a coordinate transformation is

$$g^{\mu'\nu'} = \partial_\kappa x^{\mu'} \partial_\lambda x^{\nu'} g^{\kappa\lambda} . \quad (26)$$

As a consequence, if $g^{\mu\nu}$ has an inverse $g_{\mu\nu}$, then

$$g = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes_L dx^\nu \quad (27)$$

is a tensor (but not $g = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} dx^\nu$).

⁴In order to generalize this to a *noncommutative* algebra $\mathcal{A}$, an involution $*$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is needed and the rhs has to be replaced by $f^* \star w$ [8].

4 The metric compatibility condition

Let $(\Omega(\mathcal{A}), d)$ be a differential calculus over a commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and ∇ a linear connection. Let us introduce the twist map

$$\tau(\alpha \otimes_L \beta) = \beta \otimes_L \alpha \quad (28)$$

and the map

$$\bullet((\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \gamma) \otimes_L (\beta \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \delta)) = (\alpha \bullet \beta) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\gamma \otimes_L \delta) \quad (29)$$

where the canonical product in Ω^1 enters on the rhs. Now we define⁵

$$\nabla(\alpha \otimes_L \beta) = \nabla\alpha \otimes_L \beta + (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \tau)(\nabla\beta \otimes_L \alpha) - \bullet(\nabla\alpha \otimes_L \nabla\beta) . \quad (30)$$

It is easy to verify that this defines a left $\mathcal{A}$ -module connection on $\Omega^1 \otimes_L \Omega^1$. Now we can impose the condition

$$\nabla g = 0 \quad (31)$$

on an element $g \in \Omega^1 \otimes_L \Omega^1$. If g is a candidate for a metric, this condition generalizes the familiar metric compatibility condition of ordinary differential geometry.

5 Conclusions

We have proposed a definition of a metric tensor as an element of $\Omega^1 \otimes_L \Omega^1$ for (noncommutative) differential calculi on commutative algebras and presented examples in which such a structure appears naturally. Furthermore, a corresponding compatibility condition with a linear connection has been formulated. In the particular case of differential calculi on discrete sets, these structures have been explored in [9].

F. M.-H. is grateful to C. Burdik for the opportunity to present the material of this paper at the 8th Colloquium on *Quantum Groups and Integrable Systems*.

References

- [1] Connes A.: Noncommutative Geometry, Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [2] Parfionov G.N. and Zapatrin R.R.: gr-qc/9803090.
- [3] Mourad J.: *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** (1995) 965.
- [4] Bresser K., Dimakis A., Müller-Hoissen F. and Sitarz A.: *J. Phys. A* **29** (1996) 2705.
- [5] Dimakis A., Müller-Hoissen F. and Striker T.: *J. Phys. A* **26** (1993) 1927.
- [6] Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *J. Phys. A* **29** (1996) 5007.
- [7] Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *Lett. Math. Phys.* **39** (1997) 69.

⁵An expression like $\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \beta \otimes_L \gamma$ has to be read as $\alpha \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (\beta \otimes_L \gamma)$.

- [8] Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *Czech. J. Phys.* **48** (1998) 1319.
- [9] Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999) 1518.
- [10] Baehr H. C., Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *J. Phys. A* **28** (1995) 3197.
- [11] Dimakis A. and Müller-Hoissen F.: *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 6703.